

WICKEN STREET LIGHTING ANALYSIS

« ADDENDUM »

8th March 2021

WICKEN STREET LIGHTING ANALYSIS

ADDENDUM

Background

Following submission of the original Consultation Analysis and Appendix on 4th March 2021, additional clarification was requested. This Addendum has been completed to meet that request.

Clarification

A single comment can raise various issues.

Only the 2 husband-and-wife responses, referred to in the original report, were duplicated. No other duplications were made. The comments regarding a family view were already expressed on each individual family response, with similar wording.

There appears to be confusion regarding running costs, with different views being expressed.

Issues raised in comments

Percentages are shown as a percentage of the total number (173) of comments received

101 (58%) comments were from voters of the TEKK CONTEMPORARY light.

72 (42%) comments were from voters of the WINDSOR light.

39 (23%) comments concerned the cost or value for money.

38 (22%) comments concerned appearance, or whether the style was appropriate for Wicken.

30 (17%) comments concerned light quality, coverage or light-pollution.

18 (10%) comments questioned the location of lighting.

17 (10%) comments questioned the light colour (temperature).

9 (5%) comments concerned Pound Close and some asked if two new lights were necessary.

6 (3%) comments mentioned the Solar Fund.

5 (3%) comments queried alternative projects and locations for funding.

5 (3%) comments emphasised the UK/British supplier.

5 (3%) comments expressed thanks and appreciation.


2 (1%) comments concerned the timing of lights (on/off).

2 (1%) comments concerned the Sports Club and one suggested that the entrance light should be brighter.

Other issues raised, in alphabetical order, included additional lighting, aesthetics, bats, conservation, downward-facing lights, ecological impact, environment, health, life-span of bulbs, low-energy lights, planning for the future, removal of other lights, time-frame, uniformity of approach, and village character.

Zones

The Zones are numbered on this map. I have re-examined the smaller subset of responses from those *residing in or close to* the above zones, in an attempt to show the local preference for each location.



I am unable to show the number of responses received for each zone without breaching the confidentiality of the Consultations.

However, I am able to say that -

For Zones 1, 3, 4, 5 and 6, the preference was for the WINDSOR light.

For Zones 2, 8 and 9, there was no overall preference, as votes were tied.

For Zone 7 there was insufficient data.